# Summary table on the cost-effectiveness of dldp contributions to supporting waste management in Albania

The following table provides an overview of the **costs of dldp contributions** to achieve the **three main changes at partners’ level (outcomes)** related to supporting waste management in Albania. The table is closely linked and complementary to the report “Supporting Improved LGU Waste Management Services: A Report on the Outcomes of dldp and Partners’ Contributions”, providing summarized data on the cost-effectiveness of dldp interventions.

## Change 1: Waste management service delivery is improved in selected partner LGUs

|  |
| --- |
| **Reported change** |
| Waste management service delivery is improved in selected partner LGUs:* LGUs have more information about the principles and possibilities of waste management
* LGUs implement concrete improvements in waste management service delivery
* LGUs consider new, innovative, more efficient and ecological options for waste management
* LGUs are more responsible for implementing waste management services and proactive in seeking solutions
* Other LGUs (beyond dldp partner LGUs) become more aware of the issue of waste management and are inspired by the good practices of LGUs in Shkodra and Lezha to address the issue themselves
 |
| *Total cost of contribution:****628 500 Euro*** | *List of contributions to changes:** *Study visits*
* *Inter-LGU experts group*
* *Waste management planning and implementation (Technical assistance and funding).*
* *LGUs capacity building increased in strategic planning, financial management, project cycle management and fund access.*
 | *See report[[1]](#footnote-1): 3.3 and 3.4 and partially 3.6* |

## Change 2: Waste management policy-making is improved and informed by practical experience, good practices and guidance documents

|  |
| --- |
| **Reported change** |
| Waste management policy-making is improved and informed by practical experience, good practices and guidance documents:* Waste management policy-making is informed by concrete proposals for sustainable and affordable solutions to implement the national strategy at local and regional level
* Waste management policy-making is a participatory process in which LGU voices are heard
* Identified evidence based national waste management policy bottlenecks and challenges are addressed by the central government
 |
| *Total cost of contribution:****241600*** *Euro* | *List of contributions to changes:** *Studies*
* *Good practice documentation*
* *Monitoring*
* *Events (national conferences and fairs)*
* *Manual on “Planning Local Waste Management”*
* *Training curricula on waste management*
* *Inter-LGU expert group*
* *LGUs capacity building increased in strategic planning, financial management, project cycle management and fund access.*
 | *See report: 3.1 and 3.2 and partially 3.3, 3.6* |

## Change 3: Waste sector partnership is extended at national, regional and local levels

|  |
| --- |
| **Reported change** |
| Waste sector partnership is extended at national, regional and local levels:* Promoting an intersectorial approach/contribution (environment, transport, governance, economic development etc.) of waste management under the MoI coordination at the national level, establishing a new partnership with the Ministry of the Environment
* Advocating and lobbing to defend local interests in designing national policies (Engaging in the AAM/AAC information campaign about the new National Waste Management Strategy and Plan)
* At the local level, generating an atmosphere of trust between LGUs conducive to engaging in mutually beneficial inter-LGU waste management initiatives
* Providing incentives to LGUs to engage in partnership to tackle waste management issues
* The partnerships that dldp and partners have facilitated have pushed LGUs and other actors to be proactive and committed to seeking change
* Albanian experiences have become a regional reference for neighbouring countries facing similar challenges
 |
| *Total cost of contribution:****84000 EU*** | *List of contributions to changes:** *Support to elaborating and implementing the National Strategy on Waste*
* *Complementary support in several LGUs*
* *Learning from others’ good practice*
* *National level experience sharing*
* *Regional level experience sharing*
* *Participation and presentation at fairs and conference*
* *LGUs capacity building increased in strategic planning, financial management, project cycle management and fund access*
 | *See report: 3.5 and 3.6 and partially 3.4 and 3.3* |
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